

·	Proposed rezoning at 5-11 Ma Recreation	iítland St, Narrabri, from RE1	Public Re	ecreation to RE2 Private
	The Planning Proposal aims t 533507, 5-11 Maitland St, Narı correct a mapping error in the	abri from RE1 Public Recrea	tion to RE	
	PP_2013_NARRB_001_00		3/12290	
nning Team Recom	mendation			
Preparation of the plann	ing proposal supported at this s	stage : Recommended with C	conditions	
S.117 directions:	4.3 Flood Prone Land			
	6.2 Reserving Land for Put	olic Purposes		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:			
	1. The Planning Proposal b			
	2. The Planning Proposal b	÷		
	3. The Planning Proposal b 4. No agency consultation	e completed within 6 months be required;	5;	
5 <b>.</b>	5. That the Director Genera	I (or his delegate) agree that		
		one Land and 6.2 Reserving	Land for I	Public Purposes are of
	minor significance;	exercise delegation be issue	d to Cour	cil: and
		ne line (that addresses all th		
		risation to exercise delegation		
	the Planning Proposal prio	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		r to public exhibition.		
Supporting Reasons :		l correct a mapping error tha rrection is considered impo		
Supporting Reasons : nel Recommendation	Narrabri LEP 2012. This co operate effectively and acc	l correct a mapping error tha rrection is considered impo		
X	Narrabri LEP 2012. This co operate effectively and acc n	l correct a mapping error tha rrection is considered impo curately.	rtant in pe	
nel Recommendation	Narrabri LEP 2012. This co operate effectively and acc n 01-Aug-2013 This Planning Proposal Determination to be iss	l correct a mapping error tha rrection is considered impo curately.	rtant in pe dation : Pa significan Regional	rmitting the LEP to
nel Recommendation Recommendation Date :	Narrabri LEP 2012. This co operate effectively and acc n 01-Aug-2013 This Planning Proposal Determination to be iss Proposal will therefore	I correct a mapping error that prection is considered impo- curately. Gateway Recommen- is considered to be of local ued under delegation by the	rtant in pe dation : Pa significan Regional	rmitting the LEP to
nel Recommendation Recommendation Date : Panel Recommendation	Narrabri LEP 2012. This co operate effectively and acc n 01-Aug-2013 This Planning Proposal Determination to be iss Proposal will therefore	I correct a mapping error that prection is considered impo- curately. Gateway Recommen- is considered to be of local ued under delegation by the	rtant in pe dation : Pa significan Regional nel.	rmitting the LEP to assed with Conditions ce and the Gateway Director. The Planning
nel Recommendation Recommendation Date : Panel Recommendation teway Determination	Narrabri LEP 2012. This co operate effectively and acc n 01-Aug-2013 This Planning Proposal Determination to be iss Proposal will therefore	I correct a mapping error that prection is considered impo- curately. Gateway Recommen- is considered to be of local ued under delegation by the not be considered by the Pa Gateway Determ	rtant in pe dation : Pa significan Regional nel.	rmitting the LEP to
nel Recommendation Recommendation Date : Panel Recommendation teway Determination Decision Date :	Narrabri LEP 2012. This co operate effectively and acc n 01-Aug-2013 This Planning Proposal Determination to be iss Proposal will therefore n 01-Aug-2013	I correct a mapping error that prection is considered impo- curately. Gateway Recommen- is considered to be of local ued under delegation by the not be considered by the Pa Gateway Determ	rtant in pe dation : Pa significan Regional nel.	rmitting the LEP to assed with Conditions ce and the Gateway Director. The Planning
nel Recommendation Recommendation Date : Panel Recommendation teway Determination Decision Date : Decision made by :	Narrabri LEP 2012. This co operate effectively and acc n 01-Aug-2013 This Planning Proposal Determination to be iss Proposal will therefore 01-Aug-2013 Regional Director, Northern	Gateway Recomment is considered to be of local ued under delegation by the not be considered by the Pa Gateway Determ Region LEP Timeframe	rtant in pe dation : Pa significan Regional I nel.	rmitting the LEP to assed with Conditions ce and the Gateway Director. The Planning Passed with Conditions 6 months
nel Recommendation Recommendation Date : Panel Recommendation teway Determination Decision Date : Decision made by : Exhibition period : Gateway	Narrabri LEP 2012. This co operate effectively and acc n 01-Aug-2013 This Planning Proposal Determination to be iss Proposal will therefore 01-Aug-2013 Regional Director, Northern 14 Days The Planning Proposal show 1. Community consultation	I correct a mapping error that prection is considered impo- curately. Gateway Recommen- is considered to be of local ued under delegation by the not be considered by the Pa Gateway Determ Region LEP Timeframe Ild proceed subject to the fo	rtant in pe dation : Pa significan Regional nel. hination : : Ilowing co	rmitting the LEP to assed with Conditions ce and the Gateway Director. The Planning Passed with Conditions 6 months onditions; d 57 of
nel Recommendation Recommendation Date : Panel Recommendation teway Determination Decision Date : Decision made by : Exhibition period : Gateway	Narrabri LEP 2012. This co operate effectively and acc n 01-Aug-2013 This Planning Proposal Determination to be iss Proposal will therefore 01-Aug-2013 Regional Director, Northern 14 Days The Planning Proposal show 1. Community consultation the Environmental Planni	I correct a mapping error that prection is considered impo- curately. Gateway Recommen- is considered to be of local ued under delegation by the not be considered by the Pa Gateway Detem Region LEP Timeframe Ild proceed subject to the fo	rtant in pe dation : Pa significan Regional I nel. hination : : Ilowing co :6(2)(c) and 9 ("EP&A /	rmitting the LEP to assed with Conditions ce and the Gateway Director. The Planning Passed with Conditions 6 months onditions; d 57 of Act") as follows:
nel Recommendation Recommendation Date : Panel Recommendation teway Determination Decision Date : Decision made by : Exhibition period : Gateway	Narrabri LEP 2012. This co operate effectively and acc n 01-Aug-2013 This Planning Proposal Determination to be iss Proposal will therefore 01-Aug-2013 Regional Director, Northern 14 Days The Planning Proposal show 1. Community consultation the Environmental Planni (a) the planning proposal is 'A Guide to Preparing LE	I correct a mapping error that prection is considered impo- curately. Gateway Recommen- is considered to be of local ued under delegation by the not be considered by the Pa Gateway Determ Region LEP Timeframe Ild proceed subject to the fo is required under sections & ng and Assessment Act 1975 is classified as low impact as EPs' (Department of Planning	rtant in pe dation : Pa significan Regional I nel. nination : : Ilowing co 6(2)(c) and 9 ("EP&A / 5 described 5 & Infrasti	rmitting the LEP to assed with Conditions ce and the Gateway Director. The Planning Passed with Conditions 6 months onditions; d 57 of Act") as follows: d in ructure 2013)
nel Recommendation Recommendation Date : Panel Recommendation teway Determination Decision Date : Decision made by : Exhibition period : Gateway	Narrabri LEP 2012. This co operate effectively and acc n 01-Aug-2013 This Planning Proposal Determination to be iss Proposal will therefore 01-Aug-2013 Regional Director, Northern 14 Days The Planning Proposal show 1. Community consultation the Environmental Planni (a) the planning proposal is 'A Guide to Preparing LE and must be made publi	I correct a mapping error that prection is considered impo- curately. Gateway Recommen- is considered to be of local ued under delegation by the not be considered by the Pa Gateway Determ Region LEP Timeframe and proceed subject to the for is required under sections & ng and Assessment Act 1975 is classified as low impact as	rtant in pe dation : Pa significan Regional I nel. hination : : Ilowing co i6(2)(c) and 9 ("EP&A / 9 described g & Infrasti of 14 days	rmitting the LEP to assed with Conditions ce and the Gateway Director. The Planning Passed with Conditions 6 months onditions; d 57 of Act") as follows: d in ructure 2013) ;; and

2. Prior to undertaking public exhibition, Council is to update the project timeline within the planning proposal to include all relevant information in		
3. No consultation is required with public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act.		
4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).		
5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 6 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.		